

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,614	07/18/2005	Akira Hiwata	050447	7336	
23850	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			TRIEU, THERESA		
SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			3748		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/542,614	HIWATA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Theresa Trieu	3748				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	uly 2005.					
		action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-17 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>July 18, 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	, ,				
''/	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Raminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea	` ' ' '					
- (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 18, 2005</u>.

Attachment(s)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

CI	Other:	
n) i	( )iner	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt and entry of Applicants' Preliminary Amendment filed on July 18, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 3, 4 and 6 have been amended. Claims 7-12 have been added. Accordingly, claims 1-17 are pending in this application.

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Drawings

2. Figure 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "SCROLL COMRPESSOR WITH OUTER AND INNER WALLS CURVES OF FIXED AND ORBITING SCROLL WRAPS BEING FORMED OF INVOLUTE CURVE WITH BASIC CICRLE RADIUS".

Application/Control Number: 10/542,614 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsudaira et al.

(Matsudaira) (Patent Number 4,627,800).

As shown in Figs. 6(a, b), Matsudaira discloses a scroll compressor in which a fixed

scroll (21) and an orbiting scroll (22) whose scroll laps (212, 222) rise from an end plate (211,

221) are meshed with each other to form a compression chamber therebetween, and when said

orbiting scroll is turned along a circular orbit while restraining rotation by a rotation-restricting

mechanism (23), said compression chamber moves while changing its volume, thereby carrying

out suction, compression and discharge operations, wherein a thickness of a scroll lap of said

fixed scroll (21) is increased from its center toward an outer side thereof (see col. 5, line 7-9),

and a thickness of a scroll lap of said orbiting scroll (22) is reduced from its center toward an

outer side thereof (see col. 4, line 29-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsudaira in view of Sato et al. (Publication Number JP 2000-283065).

Matsudaira discloses the invention as recited above; however, Matsudaira fails to disclose the refrigerant gas being carbon dioxide.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Sato teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize the refrigerant gas being carbon dioxide (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the carbon dioxide, as taught by Sato in the Matsudaira apparatus, since the use thereof would have improved the performance and efficiency of the scroll compressor device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-4 and 8-17 are allowed

#### Prior Art

The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on July 18, 2005 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,614

Art Unit: 3748

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

Page 5

disclosure and consists of three patents: Takeuchi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,244,840),

Shiibayashi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,478,557), and Arata (Publication Number JP 2000-

329079), each further discloses a state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

November 11, 2006

Theresa Trieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748